OGC 60-0493(a)

5 May 1960

MEMORANIAM FOR: Chief, Administrative Staff

Office of Communications

SUBJECT:

Storage of Household Sffeets

25X1A9A

25X1A6A may ship to his new PCS post in \_\_\_\_\_\_ an amount of household effects in excess of the "Table II Allowances" but less than the "Table I Allowances" and simultaneously store his remaining household effects, which, taken together with the amount shipped, do not exceed the over-all amount allowed in Table I. For the reasons which follow we think the answer is in the affirmative.

25X12459XA

a. Paragraph 4(a)(1)(D) of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 states:

"Under such regulations as the Director may prescribe, the Agency, with respect to its officers and employees assigned to permanent duty stations outside the continental United States, its territories, and possessions, shall pay the cost of storing the furniture and household and personal effects of an officer or employee of the Agency who is absent under orders from his usual post of duty, or who is assigned to a post to which, because of emergency conditions, he cannot take or at which he is unable to use, his furniture and household and personal effects."

25X1A9A

The alleged restriction on H shipment is found in the statement in paragraph 78 of that "nontemporary storage will not be authorized when the gross weight or volume shipped to the overseas post exceeds the weight of volume listed in Table II." The Table II allowances represent partial shipments; employees are held to these when they are going to posts where furnished quarters are provided by the Government. (See paragraph 78.) Taken in context, the quoted provisions in paragraph 78 means that the employee who wishes to ship to unfurnished quarters more than is allowed to furnished quarters is denied the statutory benefit.

b. Another effect of this regulation: If the employee who elects to confine his household effects shipment to the Table II level makes a miscalculation (or if the packer uses unusually heavy materials) and the gross weight exceeds the Table II limit, he

is diverted of his right to be reinbursed for a storage of his remaining effects. This would ordinarily not be learned by the employee until after he had remaked his new yest and was so far many from his goods in storage that there was little he could do about the whole matter. In our opinion, requiring the employee to take such a risk is unconsciousble.

- c. Another unfortunate result of this provision is that the employee who exceeds the Table II allowence, though barred from storing the balance at Government expense, is nevertheless authorized to ship it to his destination at Government expense, which is more expensive to the Government than the storage would have been. He thus must elect between (a) storing at his own expense, or (b) thus must elect between (a) storing at his own expense, or (b) shipping to his new post things which he does not want, or cannot use, and which the Agency presumably does not wish to ship.
- 2. It is the primary function of minintrative regulations to fill in the details necessary to the implementation of statutory objectives. Under language such as found in section t of the Contral Intelligence Agency Act, quoted above, the scope of regulatory authority is broad indeed. Heverthelies, regulations must be written in hermony not only with the express provisions of the statute under which they are issued, but also with the broad objectives of the statute. They may not operate to negate the statute and thus frustrate the will of Congress. In the case at hand, the statute confers a positive legal right on the employee and places a mandate on the Agency to confer the benefit that is, the stavage at Government expense of household effects he cannot use at his prespective post in an exergency area. Consistent with what we have said above, the Agency may by regulations place reasonable limits on insividuals as to time, manner, place, amount, etc., of storage, but it cannot impose restrictions which have the effect of unjustly denying the statutory benefit to a large class of employees.
- 3. Agency Regulation sets reasonable standards of household effects weights in Table I, and then, as to storage, denies application of those standards to persons who exceed the limited shipments authorized to furnished quarters (under Table II). In fact, the regulation makes no direct provision for storage at Government expense of the affects of persons going to unfurnished quarters in energency areas. We have had occasion previously to chiest to a comparable emission from the previously to chiest to a comparable emission from the previously to chiest to a comparable emission from the previously to chiest to a comparable emission from the previously to chiest to a comparable emission from the previously to chiest to a comparable emission from the previously to chiest to a comparable emission from the previously to chiest. In our memorandum to Chief, yearsportation Division. Office of Logistics, dated 2 July 1959, subject.—Proposed Revision of
  - "A regulation may not set different standards of entitlement which crificially and unreasonably discriminate between various entegories of employees. For imstance, former paragraph 11 of provided for storage of effects at Government expense for employees going to furnished quarters in energoney areas but not for those going to unfurnished quarters in the same areas. This mareasonably discriminates against the latter class, who may be in no less need of the benefit them the former."

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

## Approved For Release 2002/09/08 : CIA-RDP68-99/140R000200420071-6

to For the reasons above, to the extent that would deny to an employee going to a post which is in an emergency area, and at which furnished quarters are not provided, the storage benefit provided by the statute, on the sole grounds that his boundhold effects exceeded weight restrictions lower than those imposed by Table I, the regulatory provision quoted in paragraph la above cannot stand. The Office of Logistics has proposed an amendment to the regulation deleting the objectionable language proposed an amendment to the regulation deleting the objectionable language. Until final coordination and issuance of that proposal, this memorandum is sufficient authority for the storage requested by the household effects within the over-all weight limits specified by the regulation, and any of his household effects, within those limits, which he cannot use at his destination may be atored at Government expense according to the formula provided by the regulations.	25X1A9	
CTGWED	25X1A9	<b>3</b> A
Office of General Course)	!	

oc: Chief, Firmace Director of Logistics Chief, EE Support SSA-DD/S